UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,640	03/01/2004	Michael D. West	75802.026014	9766
	7590 09/03/201 /ILLIAMS LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			BERTOGLIO, VALARIE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1109	1632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/790,640	WEST ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Valarie Bertoglio	1632		

	Valarie Bertoglio	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sl set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			4 64
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 August 2010</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or ar Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	y extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			oddoo
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		/,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	See Continuation Sheet.		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	_		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The rejections under 35 USC 112 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph, with the that when cells are maintained in culture for many popula nuclear transfer. This is shown by the art of record where nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed and the only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed and the only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed and the only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed. The only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed in the only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed in the only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed in the only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed in the only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed in the only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed in the only cells effective in nuclear transfer have failed in the only cells eff	exception of claims 5 and 10, are tion doublings, i.e. senscent or near attempts to genetically manipulate clear transfer that show increased art showing lengthening of telome enescent or near senescent as claim doublings in culture are fibroblastics.	maintained. The art har senescent, they are excells other than fibro telomere length after res of cells resulting fimed. To date, the on	as demonstrated e not effective in oblasts prior to doubling in rom NT using
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I	-10/36/06) Paper NO(S)		
13.  Other:			

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

/Valarie Bertoglio/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100831

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The scope of enablement rejection as it relates to claims 5 and 10 is withdrawn in light of Applicant's remarks. The claims are limited to use of fibroblast donor cells. The rejection under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph is withdrawn in light of Applicant's amendments to the claims..